+

First Heavy Ions Results with Pb-Pb Collisions in CMS



Rencontres Ions Lourds

December 17th

Lamia Benhabib





Data taking, CMS detector and Trigger

Di-leptons in HI

Unbalanced jets in HI

Data taking, CMS detector and Trigger



CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Mon Nov 8 11:30:53 2010 CEST Run/Event: 150431 / 630470 Lumi section: 173

+Data Taking

- 8 November, first Pb-Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV
- A factor 14 comparing to RHIC energies
- Recorded luminosity : 8.72 μ b⁻¹



CMS ION LUMINOSITY

Δ



+ Trigger and Event selection

- Level l trigger
 - Coincidence of two scintillator counters or coincidence of two HF towers
 - Muons
- High Level Trigger : Jets, Muons, Photons
- Offline
 - Veto on scintillator beam halo
 - At least 3 HF towers on each side above a threshold (E > 3 GeV)
 - Reconstructed pixel vertex with two or more tracks
 - Beam-scraping removal with
 pixel cluster vertex compatibility



Di-leptons in HI



- Motivation
- $J/\psi, \Upsilon \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ measurement is a good probe for QGP
- At RHIC J/ψ suppression is not well understood and only about 100 Y observed
- Z→ $\mu^+\mu^-$, e⁺e⁻ first heavy ion measurement!
 - electroweak production not affected by the medium
 - nuclear PDFs modification



+ Z |y|<2.4



+ $J/\psi:|y| < 2.4 p_T^{\mu\mu}$ [6.5,30] GeV/c



+ $\Upsilon: |y| < 2.4 p_T^{\mu} > 4 GeV/c$



Dijet event candidates in CMS



- Motivation
 - Clear effect of jet quenching seen at RHIC



+ Reconstruction of Jets in HI collisions

Background subtraction

Centrality

- Different sets of detectors to reconstruct jets
 - Calorimetric Jets: use ECAL and HCAL
 - Particle Flow Jets: use Tracker and Calorimeters
- Jet finding algorithms
 - Iterative Cone (R=0.5)
 - Anti-k_T (M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, G. Soyez, JHEP 0804:063,2008.)
 - In HI: IC5 CaloJets with iterative background subtraction (O. Kodolova et al., EPJC (2007))

+ Background subtraction







+ Centrality

- The centrality is determined by the energy deposit in the Forward Calorimeter HF
- 3 centrality bins for this study



+ Dijet selection

- Leading jet : $E_T^{j1} > 120 \text{ GeV}$
- Sub-leading : $E_T^{j^2} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ (above background fluctuations)

• Leading and sub-leading jets with $|\eta| < 2$

• Select back-to-back jets $\Delta \phi > 2.5$

$$A_J = \frac{E_T^{j1} - E_T^{j2}}{E_T^{j1} + E_T^{j2}}$$

is used to visualize the jet quenching

+ A_i in p-p collisions at 7 TeV



Excellent agreement between PYTHIA and MC, we will use PYTHIA as a reference at 2.76 TeV



Leading jet E_T distribution shape well reproduced by simulations





• For further studies we will focus on $\Delta \phi > 2.5$

+ Dijet energy imbalance



 A significant dijet imbalance, well beyond that expected from unquenched MC, appears with increasing collision centrality

+ Fraction of unbalanced dijets



 Fraction of jets with imbalance larger than 0.24

 Plot as a function of number of participating nucleons (volume) averaged over centrality bin

+Imbalance uniformity: pseudorapidity



+ Imbalance uniformity: azimuth







• The sub-leading jet cut $E_T = 35, 50, 55 \text{ GeV}$





- Particle Flow: Extensive use of tracker information, different background subtraction, different jet finder algorithm
- Jet energy corrections are smaller than for CaloJets
- Excellent agreement between two very different methods

+ Conlusion

First observation of new phenomena in heavy ion collisions

- Z⁰ production
- Large number of dijets with unbalanced energies indicative of jet quenching

Papers coming soon!









Comparison of energy imbalance in simulation with and without embedding in data for central events Background subtraction works really well!



Response of jets is influenced by the dijet selection

+Jet response

 Poorer resolution, due to the heavy-ion background, as compared to pp





The resolution of jets changes due to the heavy-ion underlying event

32 +Dijet imbalance and jet energy resolution **Semi-Peripheral** Central Semi-Central 0.2 **CMS** Preliminary 0.18 PYTHIA Embedded in MB 0.16 PYTHIA, smeared by 10% 0.14 Event Fraction PYTHIA, smeared by 50% 0.12 0.1F 0.08 0.06 30-100% 10-30% 0-10% 0.04 0.02 0L 0 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.8 0.6 1 $A_{J} \equiv (E_{T}^{j1} - E_{T}^{j2})/(E_{T}^{j1} + E_{T}^{j2})$

The jet resolution was smeared by 10 and 50% in simulation

Dijet imbalance and Jet Energy Scale



The energies of sub-leading jets were shifted up by 1σ of the uncertainty in the correction. The slope of the jet correction as a function of p_T was shifted by 1σ of its uncertainty

+ Event statistics in this analysis

Table 2: Various selections on the data set. % values are always with respect to to the line above (the cuts are applied in sequence).

Centrality	0-10%		10-30%		30-100%		0-100%	
Cut	evts	%	evts	%	evts	%	evts	%
tree entries	20023	100.00	19156	100.00	8654	100.00	47833	100.00
L1a36 OR L1a44 (minbias)	20023	100.00	19156	100.00	8654	100.00	47833	100.00
leading jet $E_T > 120 \text{ GeV}$	976	4.87	991	5.17	419	4.84	2386	5.45
leading jet $ \eta < 2$	748	76.64	841	84.86	404	96.42	1993	83.53
subleading jet $ \eta < 2$	722	96.52	799	95.00	389	96.29	1910	95.84
subleading jet $E_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$	649	89.89	721	90.24	363	93.32	1733	90.73
dphi of 2 jets $E_T > 2.5$	557	85.82	661	91.68	344	94.77	1562	90.13