Rencontres QGP-France, Etretat 19 septembre 2007

Quarkonia & QGP

Elena G. Ferreiro

Universidad de Santiago de Compostela

Espagne

The J/ Ψ production: An intringuing story...

Matsui and Satz: J/ψ destruction in a QGP by Debye screening

different states "melting" at different temperatures due to different binding energies.





SPS experimental results presented a compelling evidence for the existence of a new state of matter in which quarks, instead of being bound up into more complex particles such as protons and neutrons are liberated to roam freely. NA50 anomalous suppression

Theoretical models at SPS: w or wo QGP?









Confusing way to distinguish the effects...

initial effects

nuclear absorption

gluon shadowing

pomeron shadowing

partonic comovers

•final effects

hadronic comovers

CGC cronin effect percolation parton saturation

> QGP sequential suppresion recombination

Better: COLD or HOT effects

wo or w QGP

cold effects

nuclear absorption

multiple scattering of a preresonance c-cbar pair within the nucleons of the nucleus

IMP@SPS, NI@RHIC

gluon shadowing pomeron shadowing nuclear structure functions in nuclei ≠ superposition of constituents nucleons FKG, EKS, pomeron CF

IMP@RHIC, NI@SPS

CGC

percolation

parton saturation

recombination effects favoured by the high density of partons become important and lead to eventual saturation of the parton densities

non thermal colour connection

partonic comovers

hadronic comovers

dissociation of the c-cbar pair with the dense medium produced in the collision **partonic or hadronic**

suppression by a dense medium, not thermalized

recombination

recombination

hot effects

QGP

sequential suppresion

HOT effects: sequential dissociation screening the J/ Ψ in a QGP

- J/Ψ production:
 - $\sim 60\%$ direct production J/ Ψ
 - $\sim 30\%$ via $\chi_c \rightarrow J/\Psi + x$
 - $\sim 10\%$ via $\Psi' \rightarrow J/\Psi + x$

 $S_{J/\Psi}=0.6~S\Psi+0.4~S\chi_c$

- Temperature of dissociation T_d for χ_c and Ψ' : $T_d \sim 1.1 T_c$ for J/ Ψ : $T_d \sim 1.5$ to 2 T_c
- Sequential screening of the higher resonances that feed down the J/Ψ



Karsch, Kharzeev, Satz, hep-ph/0512239

Sequential dissociation as the temperature (or energy density) increases :



HOT effects: QGP, recombination

statistical coalescence model

Andronic, Braun-Muzinger, Redlich, Stachel, nucl-th/0303036

recombination model

Grandchamp, Rapp, Brown, hep-ph/0306077

kinetic model

Thews hep-ph/0504226

•transport in a qgp

Yan, Zhuang, Xu, nucl-th/0608010

- -screening of primary J/ ψ & statistical
- recombination of thermalized c-cbar
- travel of c quarks over significant distance
- presence of a deconfined phase

qgp, recom

- screening & in-medium production
- includes effects of chemical equilibrium
- includes effects of thermal equilibrium

qgp, recom

- movility of initally produced charm quarks in a space-time region of color deconfinement
- allows formation of heavy quarkonium states via off-diagonal combinations of q & qbar

qgp, recom

- transport equations for the Jpsi
- hydrodynamic equation for the qgp

qgp, w and wo recom

COLD effects: no QGP, recombination

suppression+recombination in a dense medium wo thermalization

hadron string dynamics

Bratkovskaya, Kostyuk, Cassing, Stocker, nucl-th/0402042

- transport approach
- include backward channels for charmonium repro
- duction by D channels $D + \overline{D} \rightarrow \text{charmonia} + \text{meson}$
- full chemical equilibration not achieved in the transport calculations

•comovers

K. Tywoniuk, I. C. Arsene,L. Bravina, A. Kaidalov, E. ZabrodinA. Capella, E. G. Ferreiro

 gain and loss differential equations for: dissociation J/Ψ+comovers
 + recombination D+Dbar

no qgp, recom

no qgp, recom

The data: RAA vs centrality



The data: RAA vs centrality



Similar level of suppression:
200 GeV Au+Au @ |y|<0.35
158 GeV/A Pb+Pb @ 0<y<1

(Fred)

- Suppression at forward rapidity greater than at mid-rapidity
- Observed suppression greater than <u>initial</u> <u>CNM</u> predictions

shadowing+nuclear absorption *vraiment froids* (Andry)

Suppression by a dense medium: thermalized or not thermalized, this is the question...



Models that reproduce NA50 results at lower energies predict too much suppression at RHIC! • Satz - color screening in QGP (percolation model) with CNM added (EKS shadowing + 1 mb) • Capella - comovers with normal QGP absorption and shadowing • Rapp - direct production with QGP CNM effects needs very little regeneration to match NA50 data



It doesn't matter if the medium is hot or not!

- Suppression models in agreement with SPS data extrapolated at RHIC
 - Unmatched suppression pattern at central rapidity



Dissociation by comovers (Capella et al., hep-ph/0610313)





Dissociation by thermal gluons (R. Rapp et al., nucl-th/0608033 Nu Xu et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 97 (2006) 232301)



First problem: data Au+Au at central rapidity are not reproduced (wo/w QGP)

Regeneration, this can be the answer ...



R. Rapp *et al.* PRL 92, 212301 (2004) screening & in-medium production Thews Eur. Phys. J C43, 97 (2005) statistical and kinetic model, deconfinement & recombination Nu Xu *et al.* Phys.Rev.Lett. 97 (2006) 232301 transport equations & hydro & recombination Bratkovskaya *et al.* PRC 69, 054903 (2004) HSD, hadron-string dynamics & recombination (no QGP) Andronic *et al.* nucl-th/0611023 SCM, screening & statistical recombination of thermalized c-cbar Bravina, comovers: suppression & regeneration (no QGP)

some inconvenients of recombination or not?

1

- indetermination of σ_{cc}^{2}
- the results can be as bad as without recombination:



•it can be present w or wo thermalization -w or wo QGPso is not even a signal of a QGP

wo QGP hadronic & partonic comovers w suppression+recombination

$$\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}N^{J/\psi}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} (b, s, y) = -\sigma \{ N_{J/\psi} N^{\mathrm{co}} - N_D N_{\bar{D}} \}$$
w QGP
thermal dissociation+recombination

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{J/\psi}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \lambda_{\mathrm{F}} N_c N_{\bar{c}} [V(\tau)]^{-1} - \lambda_{\mathrm{D}} N_{J/\psi} \rho_g ,$$
 ρ_g the number density of gluons in the medium
reactivity $\lambda =$ reaction cross section *
initial relative velocity $\langle \sigma v_{\mathrm{rel}} \rangle$



Second problem: data Au+Au at mid/forward rapidity

Opposite suppression behaviour vs rapidity



Looking for solutions...

Charmed meson production in the CGC model

CGC: open charm in central rapidity region at RHIC gets suppressed as a function of rapidity charmed meson yield gets suppressed from y=0 to y=2 both in pA and AA collisions



Tuchin, hep-ph/0402298



Let's see some results...

QGP Sequential Screening +CGC



- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ QGP suppression of $\psi^{\prime}\text{,}~\chi_{C}$
- + additional forward suppression from gluon saturation (CGC)
- **BUT** approx. flat forward/mid above Npart ~ 100 seems inconsistent:

forward should drop more for more central collisions as gluon saturation increases



QGP+Regeneration

- both forward & mid rapidity suppressed by QGP – i.e. screening or large gluon density
- mid-rapidity suppression reduced by strong regeneration effect
- but approx. flat forward/mid suppression for Npart>100

seems inconsistent with increasing regeneration & increasing QGP suppression for more central collisions



some innovations and predictions...



SCM, Andronic, Braun-Munzinger, Riedlich, Stachel: QGP+recom



- characteristics at chemical freeze-out:
 - (i) temperature $T=161\pm4$ MeV,
- (ii) baryochemical potential $\mu_b = 0.8^{+1.2}_{-0.6} \text{ MeV}$
- (iii) volume corresponding to one unit of rapidity $V{=}6200 \text{ fm}^3$
- charm production cross section: $d\sigma_{cc}^{pp}/dy = 0.64^{+0.64}_{-0.32}$ mb



SHM: QGP+regeneration



CONCLUSIONS....

• Why Au+Au data y=0 @ RHIC > Au+Au data y=1.7 @ RHIC?

• Why

Cu+Cu data @ RHIC=Au+Au data @ RHIC for the same Npart?

• Why data @ RHIC=data @ SPS for the same Npart?

nuclear absorption	CGC percolation parton saturation
gluon shadowing pomeron shadowing	
QGP	partonic comovers
sequential suppression recombination	hadronic comovers

if possible

we need to know much better the initial CNM in d+Au ...





Third problem: data Cu+Cu at mid and forward rapidity



average transverse momentum vs number of collisions



Summary – J/ψ Suppression A puzzle of two (or more) ingredients



Refinement : 3D hydro + sequential dissociation (II) Gunji et al., hep-ph/0703061 :

- Charmonia
 - initial spatial distribution = from collisions in the Glauber model
 - + free streaming in a full (3D+1) hydro
 - J/ Ψ survival probability (R_{AA} /CNM with CNM = shadowing + nuclear absorption $\sigma_{abs} = 1mb$)

 $S = (1 - f_{FD}) S_{\text{direct J/}\Psi} + f_{FD} S_{\text{J/}\Psi \leftarrow \Psi', \chi c}$

- 3 free parameters : feed-down f_{FD} , melting temperatures $T_{J/\Psi}$ and $T_{\Psi',\chi c}$
- + (3D+1) hydro : same setup as the one used to reproduce charged dN/d η measured at RHIC
 - Assuming thermalization for $\tau^{\circ} \geq^{\circ} 0.6^{\circ}$ fm, initial energy density distribution in the transverse plane, EOS of the medium (T<T_c and T>T_c), ...

Refinement :

3D hydro + sequential dissociation (II) Gunji et al., hep-ph/0703061 :

- Charmonia
 - J/ Ψ survival probability (R_{AA}/CNM with CNM = shadowing + nuclear absorption σ_{abs} = 1mb)

$$\mathbf{S} = (1 - f_{FD}) \mathbf{S}_{\text{direct J/}\Psi} + f_{FD} \mathbf{S}_{\text{J/}\Psi \leftarrow \Psi', \chi c}$$

- 3 free parameters : Feed-down f_{FD} , melting temperatures $T_{J/\Psi}$ and $T_{\Psi',\chi c}$
- + (3D+1) hydro \Rightarrow best fit with :
- $T_{J/\Psi} = 2.12 T_c$
- $T_{\Psi',\chi c} = 1.34 T_c$
- $f_{FD} = 0.25$

0.10 due to uncertainty on σ_{abs} (1 1mb) Better matching with the data

