# Charmonia production in heavy ion collisions, from SPS to LHC

FRÉDÉRIC FLEURET LLR – CNRS/IN2P3 École polytechnique Palaiseau, France

F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3



## Introduction

- Motivations
  - Suppression of quarkonia is a prediction of lattice QCD calculations, for instance :

H. Satz, J. Phys. G 32 (2005)	state	$\mathrm{J}/\psi(1S)$	$\chi_c(1\mathrm{P})$	$\psi'(2S)$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\chi_b(1P)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	$\chi_b(2P)$	$\Upsilon(3S)$
	$T_d/T_c$	2.10	1.16	1.12	> 4.0	1.76	1.60	1.19	1.17

### • Experimental setup

- SPS/CERN NA38, NA50 and NA60 experiments ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 17 30$  GeV)
  - Fixed target experiments
  - **Large statistic** (100 000's  $J/\psi$ )
  - **Many data set of different types** (p+A w/ A=p, d, Be, Al, Cu, Ag, W, Pb; S+U, In+In, Pb+Pb)
  - **Small rapidity coverage (typically y \in [0,1])**
- RHIC/BNL Phenix experiment ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ )
  - Collider experiments
  - Smaller statistic : 1000's J/ $\psi$  (10000's since 2007)
  - Fewer number of data set of different types (p+p, d+Au, Cu+Cu, Au+Au)
  - **Large rapidity coverage** ( $y \in [-0.5, 0.5], y \in [-2.2, -1.2]$  and  $y \in [1.2, 2.2]$ )
- LHC/CERN experiments ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5,5 \text{ TeV}$ )
  - Collider experiments
  - × **Large statistic** (100000's  $J/\psi$ )
  - Few number of data set of different types (p+p, Pb+Pb, p+Pb)
  - **Large rapidity coverage** (|y|<2.5 ATLAS/CMS, |y|<0.9 and -4.0 < y < -2.5 ALICE)

F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

## Charmonium production at SPS

### NA38, NA51, NA50, NA60

### Two major results :

- 1. Observation of **Cold Nuclear Matter effects** : Absorption by nuclear matter
  - Suppression observed from p+p to peripheral Pb+Pb
  - $J/\psi$  survival probability :

 $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{J}/\psi) \propto (\sigma_{abs}L)$ 

- Fit to data:  $\sigma_{abs}$ =4.18 ±0.35 mb
- 2. Observation of **Anomalous suppression** in Pb+Pb (NA50) and In+In (NA60) central collisions when compared with Cold Nuclear Matter effects.



## Charmonium production at RHIC

### • PHENIX

- Two experimental stricking observations
  - Point 1 : similar behavior SPS.vs.RHIC at mid-rapidity
    - $\circ~$  At a given  $N_{\rm part}$  expect different energy densities
    - Don't expect same CNM effects





F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

Panic 2008 - Eilat

## Charmonium production at RHIC

### • PHENIX

### Two experimental stricking observations

- ► Point 1 : similar behavior SPS.vs.RHIC at midrapidity
- Point 2 : larger suppression at forward rapidity compared to mid-rapidity (confirmed with recent data)



N<sub>part</sub> = number of participant nucleons



F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

Panic 2008 - Eilat

## SPS .vs. RHIC at mid-rapidity Cold Nuclear Matter effects

- Measured R<sub>AA</sub> include
  - Hot and Dense Matter effects (HDM)
  - Cold Nuclear Matter effects (CNM)
- Need to remove CNM effects
  - At SPS : use p+A data ( $\sigma_{abs}$  = 4.2 mb)
  - At RHIC : use d+Au data
    - Shadowing (modification of PDFs) could play a role
    - × Absorption can be smaller
  - due to large uncertainties in d+Au data at RHIC can't tell weither CNM effects are the same or not.
- Need more precise CNM effect measurements at RHIC
  - run 8 : ~30 x more data (ongoing analysis)





F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

Panic 2008 - Eilat

## RHIC mid.vs.fwd

Hot and Dense Matter effects : recombination

### recombination models

- Recombination (regeneration) is a Ο mechanism which leads non-correlated c and  $\overline{c}$  quarks to combine into a  $c\overline{c}$  bound state (such as  $J/\psi$ ) :  $c+\overline{c} \rightarrow J/\psi + g$
- Compensate direct suppression 0



#### Recombination .vs. Rapidity

- Adding recombination to comovers
- More recombination at mid-rapidity

### How to test recombination ?





√s = 200 GeV

F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

### **Testing recombination** PHENIX J/ ow measurement

PH<sup>\*</sup>ENIX Non photonic electrons

0.15

Non photonic electrons (charm+beauty) flow at RHIC. If  $J/\psi$  are regenerated, they should inherit from charm-quark flow.



## RHIC mid.vs.fwd back to CNM effects

10

- Could the difference mid.vs.fwd come from CNM effects ?
  - CGC (gluon saturation)
    - × Enhancement of 3 gluons fusion in  $J/\Psi$  production mechanism
    - × Absolute amount of suppression is fitted on semi-peripheral data
    - × Ratio fwd/mid comes from the model



• Shadowing (modification of PDFs) based on new  $g+g \rightarrow J/\psi$ .



F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

Panic 2008 - Eilat

## Point 2 : RHiC mid.vs.fwd back to the data

- Extrapolate CNM effects from d+Au to Au+Au with data driven method
  - Fit d+Au data as a function of centrality (impact parameter)
  - Extrapolate to Au+Au
  - Within errors, the suppression could be the same at forward and mid rapidity
  - Need better statistics in  $d+Au \rightarrow run \ 8 \ (2008) \ d+Au$



F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

Panic 2008 - Eilat

## Conclusion for SPS and RHIC

12

- Summary of SPS and RHIC
  - Comparable  $R_{AA}$  at mid-rapidity between SPS and RHIC
  - Larger suppression observed by PHENIX at forward rapidity compared to mid rapidity → several explanations ; not discriminate yet. 0
  - CNM effects are not well constrained at RHIC. Need better measurement → run 8 d+Au Ο data (~ 80 000 J/ $\Psi$ ); may need other systems.

Up to 2008

Obtained

380

7.0

2009

Projected

610

14.6

36.5

2011

Projected

1450

31.1

78

2013

Projected

1820

40

100

### Next at RHIC

**RHIC luminosities advance** 

### **Detector upgrades** Ο

- $pb^{-1}$ 500pp× PHENIX : barrel and endcap silicon vertex detector
- STAR : DAQ upgrade + tracking upgrade (silicon pixel sensors + silicon strip pad sensors)

Species Energy Units

200

200

Au+Au

pp

 $\mu b^{-1}$ 

 $pb^{-1}$ 

#### **Impact on physics** 0

- × Better mass resolution, better signal/background ratio
- ×  $\Psi'$ ,  $\chi_c$  measurements  $(J/\Psi \sim 0.6 J/\Psi + 0.3 \chi_c \rightarrow J/\Psi + 0.1 \Psi' \rightarrow J/\Psi)$ ?



### F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

Panic 2008 - Eilat

## Outlook for LHC

### • ALICE mid (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)

- $J/\psi \rightarrow dielectron (|y| < 0.9)$
- Resolution:  $\sigma = 30 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- Signal/Bkg: ~1.2
- Expected rate (one month, 10<sup>6</sup>s): 120k

### ALICE forward (μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>)

- J/ $\psi$  → dimuon (-4<y<-2.5)
- Resolution: s=70 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Signal/bkg ~ 0.2
- Expected rate (one month) : 680k



## Outlook upsilon

15

### • In the future, new observable : bottonium states

state	$\mathrm{J}/\psi(1S)$	$\chi_c(1\mathrm{P})$	$\psi'(2S)$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\chi_b(1P)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	$\chi_b(2P)$	$\Upsilon(3S)$
$T_d/T_d$	2.10	1.16	1.12	> 4.0	1.76	1.60	1.19	1.17

### STAR 12 weeks Au+Au



### 12/11/2008

F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3

## Charmonia production in HIC conclusion

16

- Many results already got from SPS and RHIC
  - Still difficult to get a satisfying overall picture
  - Lack of CNM effects understanding at RHIC (so far)
- New results from RHIC upgrades should help to make progress
  - Larger statistic (Au+Au 2007, d+Au 2008 and futur)
  - Better heavy flavor study (thanks to upgrades)
  - $\Psi$  and  $\chi_c$ ?
- LHC experiments should provide a complementary view
  - Much higher energy (from 5.5 TeV in Pb+Pb to 14 TeV in p+p) and high statictics
  - Very good detector performances
  - But ...
    - × Only one month of Heavy Ion Collisions per year
    - Different energy regimes (constant Z/A\*Energy)
      - p+p @ 14 TeV (can do p+p @ 5.5 TeV, but taken on HIC one month program)
      - Pb+Pb @ 5.5 TeV
      - p+Pb or Pb+p @ 8.8 TeV (ALICE has (only) one muon spectrometer)
    - Asymetric beam energy implies shift of rapidity window (0.5 unit for p+Pb compared to Pb+Pb) → issues for CNM effects
  - ... it will take some time

F. Fleuret LLR-CNRS/IN2P3