fully coherent induced gluon radiation

> Stéphane Peigné SUBATECH, Nantes peigne@subatech.in2p3.fr

Rencontres QGP-France 2014 Etretat, September 15-18 main focus: fully coherent induced radiation

crucial for phenomenology
 J/psi nuclear suppression in pA (and AB)
 see talk of F. Arleo in Etretat 2013

interesting theoretically

talk based on:

Arleo, S.P., Sami 1006.0818[APS10]Arleo & S.P. 1212.0434[AP12]S.P., Arleo, Kolevatov 1402.1671[PAK14]S.P. & Kolevatov 1405.4241[PK14]

in general, features of medium-induced radiation depend on specific kinematic situation

 two main cases (S.P. & Smilga 0810.5702) (1) energetic parton suddenly produced or annihilated in nuclear medium





fully

(2) forward scattering of fast 'asymptotic parton' crossing a nuclear medium



(1) energetic parton suddenly produced in medium $\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\omega} \\ \mathbf{\omega}$ $\sim \mu$ 6 6 6 \sim EX00000 $E \to \infty$ Xdooo parton color C_R t f induced radiation spectrum increasing t_f $N_c \sqrt{rac{\hat{q}_R L^2}{\omega}}$ (LPM suppression) (BDMPS, Zakharov, 96) $t_f \sim L$ N_c Jcoher - $N_c \frac{\hat{q}_R L^2}{\omega}$ (Zakharov, 2000) 'coherent' radiation ω $\hat{q}_R \lambda_R^2$ $\hat{q}_R L^2$ E

when ω exceeds $\hat{q}_R L^2$, t_f saturates at $t_f \sim L$ due to suppression of $t_f \gg L$



$$t_{f} \sim \frac{\omega}{k_{\perp}^{2}} \gg L \Rightarrow \omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \bigg|_{L} \sim \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\theta}{(\vec{\theta} - \vec{\theta}_{s})^{2}} L \text{-independent}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ suppressed in } \omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \bigg|_{\mathrm{ind}} \equiv \omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \bigg|_{L} - \omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \bigg|_{L=0}$$

average energy loss

$$\Delta E = \int \mathrm{d}\omega \; \omega \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \bigg|_{L} \sim \alpha_s N_c \hat{q}_R L^2 \sim \alpha_s C_R \hat{q} L^2 \; \left(\hat{q} \equiv \hat{q}_g \right)$$

 (2) forward scattering of fast `asymptotic parton' crossing a nuclear medium
 setup: high-energy p-A collision in nucleus rest frame (APS10, AP12, PAK14)

$$\begin{array}{c} & p^+ \\ & & \\ p_\perp = 0 \\ & \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_h \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} p_\perp \\ p_\perp \\ z_n \end{array}$$

- tag energetic hadron with $\left. p'_{\perp} \right|_{
 m hard} \gg \sqrt{\hat{q}L}$
- energetic parent parton suffers:
 - single hard exchange $q_{\perp} \simeq p'_{\perp}$
 - soft rescatterings $\ell_{\perp}^2 = (\sum \vec{\ell_{i\perp}})^2 \sim \hat{q}L \sim Q_s^2 \ll q_{\perp}^2$

two transverse momentum scales: $\ell_{\perp} \ll q_{\perp}$

derivation of induced spectrum

- use opacity expansion (Gyulassy, Levai, Vitev 2000)
- look for soft radiation with $~k_{\perp} \ll q_{\perp}~{
 m and}~t_f \gg L$



 $xq_{\perp} < k_{\perp} \Leftrightarrow \theta > \theta_s$ interference dominated by radiation outside the 'abelian cone' of opening θ_s (Y. Dokshitzer, 'perturbative QCD for beginners') $k_{\perp} < \ell_{\perp}$ — radiation induced by additional ℓ_{\perp} must be shaken off by ℓ_{\perp} similar features at all orders in opacity (PAK14) $1 \quad 02 \quad \mathbf{n}$ JT

$$\implies x \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}x} = (2C_R - N_c) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{\ell_{\perp}}{x^2 q_{\perp}^2}\right)$$
with $\ell_{\perp}^2 \sim \hat{q}L \sim Q_s^2$

a new scale:
$$\hat{\omega} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\hat{q}L}}{q_{\perp}} E \sim \frac{\ell_{\perp}}{q_{\perp}} E \gg \hat{q}L^2$$



$$\Delta E_{\rm coh} \sim \alpha_s \hat{\omega} \sim \alpha_s \frac{\sqrt{\hat{q}L}}{Q_{\rm hard}} E \quad (\gg \Delta E_{\rm LPM} \sim \alpha_s \hat{q} L^2)$$

from **fully coherent** domain: $t_f \sim \frac{\omega}{k_\perp^2} \sim \frac{\omega}{\hat{q}L} \gg L$

orders of magnitude

p-Pb collision at $\sqrt{s} = 5 \text{ TeV}$ $\hat{q} = \hat{q}_{cold} \sim 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$ $L \sim 10 \text{ fm}$

consider light hadron with:

$$p_T = 3 \,\mathrm{GeV}; \ y_{\mathrm{cm}} = 0 \quad (\Rightarrow E_{\mathrm{cm}} \simeq 3 \,\mathrm{GeV})$$

in nucleus rest frame: $E = \sqrt{s} \frac{p_T}{2m_p} e^y \sim 7.5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

 $\Rightarrow \hat{\omega} \simeq 2.5 \,\mathrm{TeV} \gg \hat{q}L^2 \simeq 50 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

• color factor $2 \underbrace{\overset{(1)}{\overset{(2)}}}}{\overset{(2)}{\overset{(2}$

remark: $1 \rightarrow 1$ forward scattering with $C_R \neq C_{R'}$ C_R $C_{R'}$ $C_R \leftarrow C_{R'} \leftarrow C_{R'}$ $C_R \leftarrow C_{R'} \leftarrow C_{R'}$ (PAK14)

fully coherent radiation is process-dependent $\Rightarrow \notin target/proj.$ wavefunction

generalization to $1 \rightarrow 2$ hard forward processes Liou & Mueller, 1402.1647 [LM14] and PK14

• LM14: dipole formalism; forward symmetric dijet $K_{1\perp}, K_{2\perp} \gg \ell_{\perp} \sim Q_s$ but $|\vec{K}_{1\perp} + \vec{K}_{2\perp}| \leq Q_s$



how can we understand similarity between $1 \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow 2$ processes, and interpret 'strange' factor 4/5 ?

spectrum associated to $q \rightarrow qg$ in opacity expansion and large N_c limit (PK14) for $g \to g$, hard process is trivial = hard for $q \rightarrow qg$, hard process is less trivial: ومع 909 d +K, $x_{\rm h}$

• explicit calculation

$$\Rightarrow \left. x \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}x} \right|_{q \to qg} = \kappa_{q \to qg} \cdot N_c \cdot \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{\Delta q_\perp^2(L)}{x^2 K^2}\right)$$
$$\kappa_{q \to qg} \equiv \frac{(K - x_\mathrm{h}q)^2}{(K - x_\mathrm{h}q)^2 + (1 - x_\mathrm{h})^2 K^2}$$

log arises from $x^2 K_{\perp}^2 \ll k_{\perp}^2 \ll \hat{q}L \sim \ell_{\perp}^2$

 $xK_{\perp} \ll k_{\perp} \Leftrightarrow 1/k_{\perp} \gg \Delta r_{\perp} \sim v_{\perp} t_f \sim (K_{\perp}/E) \cdot (\omega/k_{\perp}^2)$

at time t_f , radiated gluon does not probe size Δr_{\perp} of qg pair $\longrightarrow qg \sim$ pointlike

 \longrightarrow effectively the same as for $1 \rightarrow 1$ processes

• interpretation of factor $\kappa_{q \rightarrow qg}$

T/

* final pointlike qg pair can be in 3 color reps: $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{8} = \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{\overline{6}} \oplus \mathbf{15}$

* diagrams with induced gluon which are suppressed when $N_c \gg 1$ are those where final qg pair is in 3 (recall $q \to q$ has color factor $2C_F - N_c \longrightarrow_{N_c \to \infty} 0$)

same result can be obtained by removing 'triplet' component of final qg in production amplitude

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{C} & K \\ \frac{c}{C} & \frac{c}{C} & \frac{c}{C} & \frac{c}{C} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{c}{C} & \frac{c}{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{C} & \frac{c}{C} & \frac{c}{C} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{hard}^{\mathbf{\bar{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15}} = T^{a}T^{b} \left(\frac{K}{K^{2}} - \frac{K-q}{(K-q)^{2}} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{hard}^{\mathbf{\bar{6}}\oplus\mathbf{15}}|^{2}}{|\mathcal{M}_{hard}|^{2}} = \dots = \kappa_{q \to qg}$$

 $\kappa_{q \to qg} = \text{proba to produce } qg \text{ pair in } \overline{\mathbf{6}} \oplus \mathbf{15} \text{ subspace}$ $= \frac{(\mathbf{K} - x_{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{q})^{2}}{(\mathbf{K} - x_{\mathrm{h}} \mathbf{q})^{2} + (1 - x_{\mathrm{h}})^{2} \mathbf{K}^{2}} \xrightarrow{x_{\mathrm{h}} = 1/2}{q_{\perp} \ll K_{\perp}} \frac{4}{5}$

color factor

 $qg\sim$ pointlike \longrightarrow result must depend only on total color charge, and be given by same rule as for $1\to 1$

$$\begin{array}{l} qg \text{ is in } R' = \bar{\mathbf{6}} \text{ or } \mathbf{15} \\ C_{\bar{\mathbf{6}}} \simeq C_{\mathbf{15}} \simeq \frac{3}{2} N_c \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} 2T_{\mathbf{3}}^a T_{R'}^a = C_{\mathbf{3}} + C_{R'} - C_{\mathbf{8}} \\ \simeq \frac{N_c}{2} + \frac{3}{2} N_c - N_c \\ = N_c \end{array}$$

conjecture for $1 \rightarrow n$ hard forward processes





• features of coherent radiation are the same for $1 \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow 2$: universal log, process-dependent prefactor

• results for fully coherent spectra follow from first principles of QCD radiation and color algebra, and can be obtained in different formalisms

• fully coherent radiation expected in all hard forward processes with color in both initial and final parton states $\Delta E_{coh} \propto E \qquad (\gg \Delta E_{LPM})$ • pA suppression of J/psi, but also di-jet, light hadron... Back-up

two checks of the conjecture:

1)
$$g \rightarrow q \bar{q}$$

explicit calculation: $\left. x \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}x} \right|_{a \to a\bar{a}} = N_c \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{\Delta q_{\perp}^2(L)}{x^2 Q_{\mathrm{hard}}^2}\right) \qquad \text{(LM14)}$ using conjecture: dominantly in R' = 8when $N_c \gg 1 \ (P_8 = 1)$ 0000000 00000 (independently of $x_{\rm h}$) $\longrightarrow N_c + N_c - N_c = N_c$

 $q\bar{q}$ pointlike and octet \longrightarrow same result as $g \rightarrow g$ (APS10, PAK14)

2) $g \rightarrow gg$

explicit calculation (PK14)

$$\begin{split} x \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}x} \Big|_{g \to gg} &= \kappa_{g \to gg} N_c \, \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \, \log\left(\frac{\Delta q_{\perp}^2(L)}{x^2 Q_{\mathrm{hard}}^2}\right) \\ \kappa_{g \to gg} &\equiv 1 + \frac{(K - x_{\mathrm{h}} q)^2}{(K - x_{\mathrm{h}} q)^2 + x_{\mathrm{h}}^2 (K - q)^2 + (1 - x_{\mathrm{h}})^2 K^2} \\ \text{remark:} \ \kappa_{q \to qq} &= 5/3 \text{ when } x_{\mathrm{h}} = 1/2 \text{ and } q_{\perp} \ll K_{\perp} \end{split}$$

Temark. $h_g \rightarrow gg = 3/3$ when $x_h = 1/2$ and $q_\perp \ll$

using conjecture:

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\\overbrace{}}\\\overbrace{}\\}}$$

$$\implies \sum_{R'} P_{R'} (C_R + C_{R'} - C_t) = \sum_{R'} P_{R'} C_{R'} = (2 - P_{\mathbf{8}_a} - P_{\mathbf{8}_s}) N_c$$

 P_{8_a} and P_{8_s} can be simply calculated using pictorial rules for color projection operators:



Y. Dokshitzer, 'PQCD (and Beyond)', 1995

$$\longrightarrow (2 - P_{\mathbf{8}_{a}} - P_{\mathbf{8}_{s}}) N_{c} = \dots = \kappa_{g \to gg} N_{c}$$

(remark: $P_{\mathbf{8}_{s}}$ and $P_{\mathbf{8}_{a}}$ depend on x_{h})

J/psi suppression in pA and AB collisions

predictions for J/psi suppression from fully coherent energy loss compared to available low pT data from fixed target to collider energies

Arleo, S.P., 1204.4609 and 1212.0434[AP12]Arleo, Kolevatov, S.P., Rustamova 1304.0901[AKPR13]Arleo, S.P. 1407.5054[AP14]

model for quarkonium pA suppression (AP12)



coherent radiation

• arises from $t_{hard}, L \ll t_f \ll t_{octet}$

• depends on L via $\Delta q_{\perp}^2 = \hat{q} \, L \ll M$

use standard way to implement energy loss:

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{pA}}^{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}E} \left(E \right) = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\mathrm{max}}} \mathrm{d}\varepsilon \,\mathcal{P}(\varepsilon, E, \ell_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}) \,\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}E} \left(E + \varepsilon \right)$$

J/psi suppression in pA



(AP12)

J/ψ NA3 Pt/p



RHIC d-Au (PHENIX)

LHC p-Pb (ALICE)



(AP12)

p_{\perp} -dependence (AKPR13)

• energy loss + p_{\perp} -broadening of pointlike $c\bar{c}$: $\frac{1}{A} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{pA}}^{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}E\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{p}_{\perp}} = \int_{\varphi} \int_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}(\varepsilon) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}E\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{p}_{\perp}} \left(E + \varepsilon, \vec{p}_{\perp} - \Delta \vec{p}_{\perp}\right)$



no free parameter: Δp_{\perp} induces ΔE

$$\Delta p_{\perp} \longrightarrow$$

Cronin effect

energy loss \longrightarrow normalization

quarkonium suppression in AB collisions (AP14)



J/psi suppression in AB collisions (AP14)

