Production of strange particles in charged jets in Pb–Pb and p–Pb collisions measured with ALICE

Vít Kučera^{1,2}

¹NPI ASCR + Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic

 $^{2}\mathsf{IPHC}$ + University of Strasbourg, France

17th September 2014

Rencontres QGP-France 2014

Outline

- Motivation for particle identification (PID) in jets
- Jet analysis in ALICE
- Analysis of neutral strange particles in jets
- Uncorrected spectra of strange particles in jets in Pb–Pb
- Correction techniques
- \blacktriangleright Current results on Λ/K_S^0 ratios in Pb–Pb and p–Pb
- Summary and outlook

Motivation for PID in jets

- Baryon-to-meson ratio enhanced in Pb–Pb and p–Pb collisions.
- This phenomenon cannot be explained by fragmentation in vacuum.
- What is the effect of QGP on hadronisation mechanism(s) in jets?
- What mechanisms are they (parton recombination)?



Motivation for PID in jets

We aim to understand the origin(s) of the Λ/K_S^0 enhancement by separating hadrons produced in jets from hadrons produced in the thermalised bulk.

Is the baryon-to-meson ratio enhanced due to the bulk effects in the plasma (parton recombination, radial flow, \dots) or is it (also) due to a modification of the jet fragmentation in the medium?



ALICE

- ▶ Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV measured with ALICE
- tracking of charged particles by ITS & TPC in magnetic field of 0.5 T
- centrality estimated from the multiplicity of charged particles in the V0 detectors



Analysis of charged jets

- track selection
 - charged primary particles
 - $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{track}} > 150 \ \mathrm{MeV}/c$
 - uniform in $arphi imes \eta$, $|\eta_{ t track}| < 0.9$
- raw-jet reconstruction
 - anti-kt algorithm
 - resolution parameter R = 0.2, 0.3, (0.4)
- subtraction of average soft background
 - average background density ρ estimated from the median $k_{\rm t}$ cluster
 - ► $p_{ ext{jet,ch}}^{ ext{corr}} = p_{ ext{jet,ch}}^{ ext{raw}}
 ho A_{ ext{jet,ch}}$, (where $A_{ ext{jet,ch}}$ is jet area)
- signal jet selection (good candidates for hard scattering)
 - $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet,ch} > 5~{\rm GeV}/c$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ p_{\rm T}^{\rm leading \ track} > 5 \ {\rm GeV}/c$
 - $A_{\rm jet,ch} > 0.6\pi R^2$
- further $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet,ch}$ corrections
 - background anisotropy (intra-event fluctuations)
 - detector response

Analysis of neutral strange particles

Strange neutral particles decaying into two charged daughter particles

- \blacktriangleright meson $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$ (BR 69 %)
- baryon $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$ (BR 64 %)

Mother V^0 particle reconstructed using topology of its V-shaped decay.

Combinatorial background suppressed by cuts on decay parameters. Signal yield extracted from the invariant-mass distribution.

Analysed ranges:

- ▶ 0.6 GeV/ $c < p_{\rm T}^{\rm V^0} < 10 {
 m ~GeV}/c$
- ▶ |η_{V⁰}| < 0.7</p>



Strange particles in jets

Analysis steps

- V⁰ candidate selection
- candidate-jet matching (V⁰s in jet cones)

$$\sqrt{(arphi_{\mathsf{V}^0} - arphi_{\mathsf{jet},\mathsf{ch}})^2 + (\eta_{\mathsf{V}^0} - \eta_{\mathsf{jet},\mathsf{ch}})^2} < R$$

$$|\eta_{\rm jet,ch}|^{\rm max} < |\eta_{\rm V^0}|^{\rm max} - R$$

- candidate-bulk matching (regions with V⁰s from underlying event (UE) only)
- signal extraction (invariant-mass distribution)
- efficiency correction (inclusive, in jet cones, in UE)
- subtraction of V⁰s in UE
- subtraction of V⁰s coming from decays, i.e. "feed-down correction" (inclusive, in jets, in UE)



Uncorrected spectra of V⁰s in jet cones in Pb–Pb

Analysis performed for:

- ▶ triggered central events (0–10 %, 7.3 · 10⁶ events)
- ▶ jets in open $p_{\rm T}$ bins: $p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet,ch} > 10 \, {\rm GeV}/c, 20 \, {\rm GeV}/c$
- jets reconstructed with cone size: R = 0.2, 0.3

R = 0.2





Estimation of V⁰s in the underlying event in Pb–Pb

- \blacktriangleright no-jet events: V⁰s in events with no selected jets
- outside cones: V⁰s outside jet cones
- ▶ random cones: V⁰s in a randomly oriented cone
- median-cluster cones: V^0 s in the cone of the median k_t -cluster
- ▶ perpendicular cones: V⁰s in cones perpendicular to the jet in azimuth

Methods differ in regions, events, statistics, efficiency.

Spectra after efficiency correction agree within 5 % at intermediate $p_T^{V^o}$.

 K_{S}^{0}





Reconstruction efficiency, feed-down in Pb-Pb

Reconstruction efficiency depends strongly on $p_T^{V^0}$, η_{V^0} . Shape of the measured η_{V^0} distribution depends on the selection criteria. Efficiency of inclusive V⁰s is reweighted to get efficiency in jet cones and UE. Feed-down fraction of Λ in jets estimated from:

- inclusive Λ (Pb–Pb-like),
- jets generated by PYTHIA 8 (pp-like).



Corrected Λ/K_S^0 ratio in charged jets in p–Pb Λ/K_S^0 ratio in jets in high-multiplicity p–Pb

collisions

- below the inclusive ratio in p-Pb (black circles), in pp (dark purple, right plot) and in PYTHIA (black line)
- similar to ratios in PYTHIA jets (red dashed lines)
- no significant dependence on R or p_T^{jet,ch}





Summary and outlook

- Pb–Pb
 - Extracted uncorrected spectra of Λ and K_S^0 in charged jets in Pb–Pb collisions.
 - Ongoing investigation of corrections and uncertainties.
 - Fully corrected Λ/K_S^0 ratio in charged jets in central Pb–Pb collisions will be reported soon.
- ▶ p–Pb
 - \blacktriangleright ALICE performed the first measurement of Λ and K^0_S in charged jets in p–Pb collisions at the LHC.
 - Λ/K_S^0 ratio in charged jets is close to the ratio in jets in PYTHIA 8.
 - ▶ No visible modification of strangeness production in charged jets in p-Pb.

Message: Λ/K^0_S enhancement is coming from the bulk effects.

Thank you for your attention.

Backup

$V^0\xspace$ particle reconstruction

Cut variable	Value	
Daughter tracks		
TPC refit	true	
type of production vertex	not kKink	
DCA to the primary vertex	≥ 0.1 cm	
DCA between daughters	$\leq 1\sigma_{TPC}$	
$ \eta $	≤ 0.8	
$ \Delta(d E/d x) $ (p, \overline{p} : $p_{T} < 1~GeV/c)$	$\leq 3\sigma_{dE/dx}$	
V0 candidate		
reconstruction method	offline (Vít), on-the-fly (Alice)	
cosine of the pointing angle (CPA)	≥ 0.998	
radius of the decay vertex	5–100 cm	
$ \eta $	≤ 0.7	
transverse proper lifetime	$\leq 5 au$	
Armenteros–Podolanski cut (K ⁰ _S)	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{Arm.}} \geq 0.2 \alpha^{\mathrm{Arm.}} $	

Sources of uncertainties

statistical

- number of candidates in real data (propagation of \sqrt{N})
- number of particles in simulated data (propagation of \sqrt{N})
- signal extraction (background fitting, fit uncertainty)

systematic

- choice of cuts for the selection of V^0 candidates (cuts varied)
- choice of a method to extract signal yields (multiple settings)
- choice of a method to estimate V⁰s in the underlying event (multiple methods)
- estimation of material budget (taken from another analysis)
- ► choice of a method to estimate fraction of A baryons from feed-down in jets (extreme scenarios)
- correction of p_T^{jet} (embedding of simulated jets in real data)

Systematic uncertainties in p–Pb

source	uncertainty
selection cuts	2–5 % for K_{S}^{0} , 3–6 % for Λ
signal extraction	6 % (10 %) for $p_{ m T}^{ m jet,ch} >$ 10 GeV/ c (20 GeV/ c)
V ⁰ s in UE	10 % (2 %) at low (high) $p_{\rm T}^{\rm V^0}$
$p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet,ch}$ scale	1 % (10 %) at low (high) $p_{\rm T}^{\rm V^0}$
feed-down	5 %